

Communication from Public

Name: Alexey Piksaev
Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 10:53 AM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: I am utterly against the expansion of the Zoo into Griffith territory.

Communication from Public

Name: Victoria Sauter
Date Submitted: 11/05/2021 05:29 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: Please either stop the expansion of the LA zoo or commit to alternative 1.

Communication from Public

Name: Kelly
Date Submitted: 11/05/2021 10:40 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: Please do not expand the LA Zoo into the neighboring native woodlands

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 06:15 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Just take care of the fucking animals and stop shoving money up your assholes.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 07:43 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Expanding the zoo footprint is unnecessary and destructive to native wildlife. I encourage you to vote no and demand a more reasonable plan that focuses only on the current space.

Communication from Public

Name: Laura

Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 07:57 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: The plan for expansion the zoo goes far beyond upgrades. Griffith is so precious because it IS a slice of wild in the middle of LA and taking a chunk of it is unacceptable when there are alternatives such as building a parking structure (build up not out) and expanding onto existing lot space, reducing the golf course, etc.

Communication from Public

Name: Reina Cerros Mccaughey

Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 09:10 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I support Alternative 1. Please don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat. The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented. LAs open spaces and nature preserves must be protected not developed!

Communication from Public

Name: Amy Wolfberg

Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 11:52 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Sir and Madam, As a lifelong Los Angeles resident and a more than 30 year resident of Hollywood as well as an LA Zoo member, I strongly oppose expansion of the LA Zoo as it is in its current proposed form. To that end, I ask you to please support Alternative 1 as the other project alternatives destroy protected trees and other native plants that are critical habitat for native wildlife such as mountain lion P-22. One of the reasons I became an LA Zoo member is because of the zoo's welcoming stance to P-22 and the native wildlife in the area, along with lushness of the natural habitat, and the bird feeders near the zoo entrance that attract the wild birds. I will not keep my membership if the zoo moves forward with this proposed plan. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Amy Wolfberg Los Angeles, CA

Communication from Public

Name: Thomas F.

Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 01:41 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Once you raze these acres in Griffith Park, they're gone. Keeping the land in our public park system as pristine as possible should be priority number one for Los Angeles. And destroying any part of Griffith Park is not a good way to build goodwill with the community. Please reject the zoo's expansion plan.

Communication from Public

Name: Di Dickey

Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 04:52 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: No. Upgrade the zoo, but do not expand the zoo. Leave the park natural habitat as is, do not destroy the native trees & surrounding environment for expansion.

Communication from Public

Name: Ann Dorsey

Date Submitted: 11/06/2021 05:04 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Members of LA City Council's Arts, Parks, Health, Education, and Neighborhoods Committee, I urge the committee members to approve the Reduced Project Alternative (Alternative 1) of the Los Angeles Zoo's 20-Year Vision Plan. Full implementation of the Project would alter 22 acres of natural habitat in Griffith Park and destroy 202 native protected trees. To achieve the City's goal of protecting 30% of its biodiversity, it is imperative that the undeveloped land in Los Angeles remain undeveloped, especially when it is currently part of a large park. Adopting Alternative 1 will not only reduce the harmful impacts to biological resources and urban forestry it will also reduce the impacts of poor aesthetics and air quality, noise, and transportation when compared to the Project. Help make Los Angeles more livable by approving the Reduced Project Alternative (Alternative 1) of the Los Angeles Zoo's 20-Year Vision Plan. Thank you, Ann Dorsey Northridge, CA 91325